IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 23RD AUGUST 2007 / 1ST BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 25743 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

S.CHANDRA BABU, WORKING AS CHICK SEXER
DEPARTMENT ANIMAL HUSBANDRY, CHICK SEXING
EXPERT, CENTRAL HATCHERY, CHENGANNOOR.

BY ADV. SRI.M.BALAGOVINDAN
SRI.M.R.SASITH

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
AGRICULTURE (AHE) DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRECTOR OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY,
VIKAS BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. PRODUCTION MANAGER, CENTRAL HATCHERY,
CHENGANNOOR.

4. K.B.VENUGOPALAN, CHICK SEXING EXPERT,
(PROVISIONALLY PROMOTED AS SENIOR INSTRUCTOR)
LMTC, KUDAPPANAKUNNU, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 25743 OF 2007 M
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 23rd August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner is working in the post of Chick Sexer. She seeks to quash Ext. P7 whereby the 4th respondent is provisionally promoted as Senior Instructor. According to the petitioner, his seniority and merit has been overlooked in the process.

2. From Ext. P7 it is evident that the vacancy in the category of Senior Instructor was internally notified and applications are invited from qualified persons working in the category of Chick Sexing Expert/Junior Instructor for appointment as Senior Instructor. It also says that there were 4 applicants and the petitioner admits that he was one of the applicants. It is in the selection that followed that the 4th respondent was found to be possessing the required qualifications.

3. There is nothing on record to indicate that the petitioner has any claim superior to that of the 4th respondent. Apart from that there is also no material

to conclude that the 4th respondent was unqualified to be appointed. Therefore, in the absence of any such material I see no reason to entertain the writ petition. The writ petition will stand dismissed.

ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

jan/-